# A Hamiltonian System with an Even Term

Gregory S. Spradlin
University of California-Davis

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study, using variational methods, an equation of the form -u'' + u = h(t)V(u), where h and V are differentiable, h is positive, bounded, and bounded away from zero, and V is a "superquadratic" potential. That is, V behaves like q to a power greater than 2, so  $|V(q)| = o(|q|^2)$  for |q| small and  $V(q) > O(|q|^2)$  for |q| large. To prove that a solution homoclinic to zero exists, one must assume additional hypotheses on h (see [EL] for a counterexample). In [R1], solutions were found when h is assumed to be periodic. In [STT], solutions were found when h is almost periodic (a weaker condition than periodicity). In [MNT], a condition yet weaker than almost periodic is defined, and solutions to the equation are found when h satisfies that condition. Like periodicity and almost periodicity, this condition assumes basically that h is similar to translates of itself, that is, for certain large values of T, the functions  $t \mapsto h(t)$  and  $t \mapsto h(t+T)$  are close to each other. Other ways to guarantee solutions involve making |h'| small: see papers such as [FW], [WZ], and [FdP] on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, and [A] for a novel example of an h which "oscillates slowly."

In this paper we attempt to find solutions to the equation without assuming that h satisfies any kind of time-recurrence property or restriction on h'. We assume two conditions: first, that h is even (h(-t) = h(t)). Therefore it is convenient to treat the equation as an equation on the half-line  $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ . Second, h only takes on a small range of values, with the variation in h depending on V. Here is a statement of the theorem:

Theorem 1.0 Let  $n \ge 1$  and V satisfy

- $(V_1)$   $V \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R})$
- $(V_2)$  V'(0) = 0, V''(0) = 0, and
- (V<sub>3</sub>) there exists p > 1 such that  $V''(q)q \cdot q \ge pV'(q)q > 0$  for all  $q \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists d > 0 with the property that if h satisfies
- $(h_1) \ h \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R})$
- $(h_2)$  h'(0) = 0, and
- $(h_3)$   $1 \le h(t) \le 1 + d$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , then the differential equation

$$-u'' + u = h(t)V'(u)$$

has a non-zero solution v on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , satisfying v'(0) = 0 and  $v(t) \to 0$ ,  $v'(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

An example of V satisfying  $(V_1) - (V_3)$  is  $V(q) = |q|^{p+1}$  with p > 1. Condition  $(V_3)$  is a little stronger than growth conditions found in previous papers such as [Sé] or [CMN]. The conditions on h are fairly weak; h need not be periodic, or monotone, or tend to a single value as  $t \to \infty$  like in [BL]. If h has a lower bound other than 1, then h and V can be rescaled so that  $(h_3)$  is satisfied and the problem reduces to the one in the theorem statement.

#### Plan of Proof

We give a variational formulation of the problem. Let  $E = W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^+)$  along with the inner product

$$(u, w) = \int_0^\infty \left( u' \cdot w' + u \cdot w \right) dt$$

for  $u, w \in E$  and the associated norm  $||u|| \equiv ||u||_{W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^+)}$ . Then the functional  $I \in C^2(E, \mathbf{R})$  corresponding to (\*) is

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^\infty h(t) V(u(t)) dt.$$

Any critical point v of I satisfies the differential equation (\*), with  $v(t) \to 0$  and  $v'(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Also, any critical point of I satisfies the boundary condition v'(0) = 0: suppose v is a critical point of I. Define  $h_2(t) = h(|t|)$  for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then, since h'(0) = 0,  $h_2 \in C^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ . Define the functional  $I_2$  on  $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R})$  by  $I_2(u) = \frac{1}{2}||u||^2_{W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R})} - \int_{\mathbf{R}} h_2(t)V(u(t)) dt$ , and  $v_2 \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R})$  by  $v_2(t) = v(|t|)$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $v_2$  is a critical point of  $I_2$ , and therefore a classical solution of the equation  $-u'' + u = h_2(t)V'(u)$  on the entire real line. Since  $h_2$  is an even function of t, and  $h_2 \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $v_2'(0) = 0$ , so v'(0) = 0.

We will prove via an indirect argument that a critical point of I exists. First we define a submanifold S of  $E = W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^+)$  with the property that  $\inf_{u \in S} I(u) = c$ , where c is the mountain-pass value associated with I. Then we take a sequence  $(u_m) \subset E$  with  $I(u_m) \to c$  and  $I'(u_m) \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . It is not apparent whether I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, so it is not clear whether  $(u_m)$  converges. But we can show that  $(u_m)$  is a bounded sequence, so it has a weak limit. This weak limit point must be a critical point of I. If the limit point is not zero, then Theorem 1.0 is proven.

If  $(u_m)$  converges weakly to zero, then matters are more complicated. In this case, we can construct a sequence  $(y_m)$  with  $I(y_m) \le c/2 + o(m)$ , where  $o(m) \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ , and  $y_m$  "close" to  $\mathcal{S}$ . For large enough m, we can use  $y_m$  to construct  $z \in \mathcal{S}$  with I(z) < c. This is impossible, so  $(u_m)$  has a nonzero weak limit, and there exists v satisfying Theorem 1.0.

#### Organization of Paper

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we explore the mountain-pass structure of the functional I, define the manifold  $\mathcal{S}$ , and obtain some quantitative estimates. Section 3 contains the main proof of Theorem 1.0, the "splitting" argument to obtain the sequence  $(y_m) \subset \mathcal{S}$  in the indirect argument above. Section 4 contains a computation of d for a specific function V.

#### 2. Mountain-Pass Structure of I

Before defining  $\mathcal{S}$ , let us explore the related mountain-pass structure of I. Define the set of paths

(2.0) 
$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], E) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \ I(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

Integrating  $(V_3)$  yields

$$(2.1) V'(q) \cdot q \ge (p+1)V(q)$$

for all  $q \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . For  $\lambda > 1$ , the above implies

$$(2.2) V(\lambda q) \ge \lambda^{p+1} V(q)$$

for all  $q \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Thus it is easy to show that for any  $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $I(\lambda u) \to -\infty$  as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , and  $\Gamma$  is well defined. Define the minimax value

(2.3) 
$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(\theta)).$$

Let us obtain a positive lower bound for c. Let  $\beta > 0$  satisfy

$$(2.4) |q| \le \beta \Rightarrow V'(q) \cdot q \le \frac{1}{8}|q|^2.$$

This is possible by  $(V_1) - (V_2)$ . From now on assume, without loss of generality, that

$$(2.5) d \le 1.$$

Then  $h(t) \leq 2$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If  $||u|| \leq \beta$ , then  $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} \leq \beta$  (see Appendix), and

(2.6) 
$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^\infty h(t) V(u) \, dt \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{2}{p+1} \int_0^\infty V'(u) \cdot u \, dt \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - (1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{8} |u|^2 \, dt \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{8} \|u\|^2 = \frac{3}{8} \|u\|^2 \ge 0.$$

Therefore any mountain-pass curve must cross the sphere  $\{||u|| = \beta\}$ , that is, if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $\theta^* \in [0, 1]$  with  $||\gamma(\theta^*)|| = \beta$ . So the above implies

(2.7) 
$$\max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(\theta)) \ge I(\gamma(\theta^*)) \ge \frac{3}{8} \|\gamma(\theta^*)\|^2 = \frac{3}{8} \beta^2.$$

Since  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary element of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$(2.8) c \ge \frac{3}{8}\beta^2.$$

Note that this estimate does not depend on d, as long as  $d \leq 1$ .

There is another way to describe c (we will need both characterizations). Define

(2.9) 
$$S = \{ u \in E \mid u \neq 0, \ I'(u)u = 0 \}.$$

In [R2] it is proven, under weaker growth hypotheses on V than  $(V_3)$ , that

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{S}} I(u) = c.$$

In fact, for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ , the function  $s \mapsto I(su)$  is strictly increasing on 0 < s < 1, attains a maximum of I(u) at s = 1, and decreases to  $-\infty$  on  $1 < s < \infty$ . The following lemma gives estimates how quickly I(su) changes when s is near 1:

LEMMA 2.11 Let  $u \in E$  and define g(s) = I(su) for  $s \ge 0$ . Assume  $p \le 2$ . Then

(i) 
$$s \ge 1 \Rightarrow g'(s) \le g'(1)s^p - \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(s-1)||u||^2$$

and

(ii) 
$$\frac{1}{2} \le s \le 1 \Rightarrow g'(s) \ge g'(1)s^p + \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(1-s)||u||^2.$$

*Proof:* Let  $u \in E$  and define g(s) = I(su). Then

(2.12) 
$$g(s) = \frac{1}{2}s^2 \|u\|^2 - \int_0^\infty h(t)V(su) dt, \quad g'(s) = s\|u\|^2 - \int_0^\infty h(t)V'(su) \cdot u dt,$$
$$g''(s) = \|u\|^2 - \int_0^\infty h(t)V''(su)u \cdot u dt.$$

By  $(V_3)$ ,

$$(2.13) g''(s) = ||u||^2 - \frac{1}{s^2} \int_0^\infty h(t) V''(su)(su) \cdot (su) dt \le ||u||^2 - \frac{p}{s^2} \int_0^\infty h(t) V'(su) \cdot (su) dt =$$

$$= ||u||^2 - \frac{p}{s} \int_0^\infty h(t) V'(su) \cdot u dt = ||u||^2 - \frac{p}{s} (s||u||^2 - g'(s)) =$$

$$= \frac{p}{s} g'(s) - (p-1)||u||^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.14) \frac{d}{ds}[s^{-p}g'(s)] = s^{-p}g''(s) - ps^{-p-1}g'(s) = s^{-p}(g''(s) - \frac{p}{s}g'(s)) \le -(p-1)s^{-p}||u||^2.$$

If  $s \geq 1$ , then integrating the above from 1 to s yields

$$(2.15) s^{-p}g'(s) - g'(1) \le -(p-1)\|u\|^2 \int_1^t s^{-p} ds = -(1-s^{-p+1})\|u\|^2,$$

If  $s \leq 1$ , then integrating (2.14) from s to 1 yields

$$g'(1) - s^{-p}g'(s) \le -(p-1)\|u\|^2 \int_s^1 t^{-p} dt = (1 - s^{-p+1})\|u\|^2,$$

$$(2.16) \qquad \qquad g'(s) \ge s^p g'(1) + (s - s^p)\|u\|^2.$$

If  $s \geq 1$ , then by the mean value theorem, there exists  $\lambda \geq s \geq 1$  with

$$(2.17) s^p - s \ge s^{p-1} - 1 \ge (p-1)\lambda^{p-2}(s-1) \ge (p-1)(t-1).$$

If  $s \in [1/2, 1]$ , then  $1/s \ge 1$ , so by the above,

(2.18) 
$$s - s^{p} = s^{p+1}(1/s^{p} - 1/s) \ge (p-1)s^{p+1}(1/s - 1) = (p-1)s^{p}(1-s)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}^{p}(p-1)(1-s) \ge \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(1-s).$$

Lemma 2.11 follows from (2.15)-(2.18).

We have a lower bound for c that is independent of d. We also need an upper bound for c that is independent of d. Define the functional

(2.19) 
$$I^{+}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \int_{0}^{\infty} F(u(t)) dt.$$

Then  $I^+(u) \geq I(u)$  for all  $u \in E$ . Define the mountain-pass value  $c^+$ , similar to c, by defining the set of paths

(2.20) 
$$\Gamma^{+} = \{ g \in C([0,1], E) \mid g(0) = 0, I^{+}(g(1)) < 0 \},$$

and setting

(2.21) 
$$c^{+} = \inf_{g \in \Gamma^{+}} \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I^{+}(g(\theta)).$$

 $c^+$  depends only on V, not on d. Using the mountain-pass characterization of c ((2.3)), it is easy to see that  $c^+ \geq c$  because  $I^+(u) \geq I(u)$  for all  $u \in E$ . We will estimate  $c^+$  in terms of  $\beta$  and V in Section 4.

It is well known that  $(V_3)$  or a weaker condition implies that Palais-Smale sequences of I are bounded, even that  $S \cap \{u \mid I(u) \leq D\}$  is bounded for any  $D \in \mathbf{R}$ . We want an estimate on ||u|| for when I(u) is small and u is "almost" in S:

LEMMA 2.22 If  $p \leq 2$ ,  $|I'(u)u| \leq c^+$  and  $I(u) \leq 2c^+$ , then

(2.23) 
$$||u|| \le \sqrt{\frac{14c^+}{p-1}} \equiv B.$$

Proof:

$$-c^{+} \le I'(u)u = ||u||^{2} - \int_{\mathbf{R}} hV'(u) \cdot u \le ||u||^{2} - (p+1) \int_{\mathbf{R}} hV(u) =$$

$$= (p+1)I(u) - (\frac{p-1}{2})||u||^{2} \le 6c^{+} - (\frac{p-1}{2})||u||^{2}$$

by (2.1), so

$$||u||^2 \le \left(\frac{2}{p-1}\right) \cdot 7c^+ = \frac{14c^+}{p-1}.$$

# 3. Splitting

This section contains the "splitting" argument that is the core of the proof of Theorem 1.0. By Ekeland's Variational Principle ([MW]), there exists a Palais-Smale sequence  $(u_m) \subset E$  with  $I(u_m) \to c$  and  $I'(u_m) \to 0$ 

as  $m \to \infty$ . By arguments of [CR],  $(u_m)$  is bounded. Therefore it has a subsequential weak limit  $\overline{u}$ . Also by [CR],  $\overline{u}$  is a critical point of I, and  $u_m$  converges to  $\overline{u}$  in  $W^{1,2}([0,R])$  for each R > 0. If  $\overline{u} \neq 0$ , then Theorem 1.0 is proven. In fact, in this case,  $I(\overline{u}) \leq c$  (see [CR]).  $I(\overline{u}) \geq c$  because by the observations following (2.10), for large enough T,  $\theta \mapsto T\theta\overline{u}$  defines a path in  $\Gamma$ , along which the maximum value of I is c. Thus  $I(\overline{u}) = c$ .

We will show that if d is chosen small enough, in terms of V, then the case  $\overline{u}=0$  is impossible. The argument is indirect. Suppose  $\overline{u}=0$ . Define the cutoff function  $\varphi\in C(\mathbf{R}^+,[0,1])$  by  $\varphi(t)=t$  for  $0\leq t\leq 1,\ \varphi\equiv 1$  on  $[1,\infty)$ . Define  $w_m=\varphi u_m.\ \|u_m\|_{W^{1,2}([0,1])}\to 0$  as  $m\to\infty$ , and it is easy to verify that  $\|u_m-w_m\|\to 0$  as  $m\to\infty$ .  $I'',\ I'$ , and I are bounded on bounded subsets of E. For example, to prove for I'', let K>0 and suppose  $\|u\|\leq K$ . Then  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+)}\leq K$  (see Appendix). Let C>0 satisfy  $|V''(q)x\cdot y|\leq C$  for all  $|q|\leq K,\ |x|\leq 1,\ |y|\leq 1$ . Let  $v,w\in E$ . Then

$$|I''(u)(v,w)| = |(v,w) - \int_0^\infty h(t)V''(u)v \cdot w \, dt| \le ||v|| ||w|| + \int_0^\infty 2C|v||w| \, dt \le$$

$$\le ||v|| ||w|| + 2C||v||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^+)} ||w||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^+)} \le (1+2C)||v|| ||w||.$$

Since I'', I', and I are bounded on bounded subsets of E, and  $(u_m)$  is a bounded sequence, it follows that  $I(w_m) \to c$  and  $I'(w_m)w_m \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfy

$$(3.1) \epsilon < \beta^2/4$$

where  $\beta$  is from (2.4).  $\epsilon$  will fixed more precisely later. Since  $w_m \to 0$  in  $W^{1,2}([0,1])$  (and thus in  $L^{\infty}([0,1])$ ), we may choose m large enough so that

$$||w_m||_{L^{\infty}([0,1])} < \beta,$$

$$(3.3) I(w_m) < \frac{7}{6}c,$$

and

$$(3.4) |I'(w_m)w_m| < \epsilon.$$

For convenience define

$$(3.5) w = w_m.$$

We will choose a "cutting point"  $\hat{t} > 0$ , and split w into two functions,  $w^{(1)} = w|_{[0,\hat{t}]}$  (the restriction of w to  $[0,\hat{t}]$ ), and  $w^{(2)} = w|_{[\hat{t},\infty]}$ .  $w^{(1)}$  and  $w^{(2)}$  can be transformed into functions  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  respectively in E:  $w^{(1)}$  into  $z_1$ , by reflecting over  $t = \hat{t}/2$ ; and  $w^{(2)}$  into  $z_2$ , by translating by a factor of  $\hat{t}$  to the left. If d is small enough and  $\hat{t}$  is chosen carefully,  $I'(z_1)z_1$  and  $I'(z_2)z_2$  are both very close to zero, but either  $I(z_1)$  or  $I(z_2)$  is significantly less than c. Using Lemma 2.11, we then choose  $\bar{s}$  very close to 1 so that  $\bar{s}z_* \in \mathcal{S}$  but  $I(\bar{s}z_*) < c$ , where \*=1 or 2. This contradicts the fact that  $\inf\{I(u) \mid u \in \mathcal{S}\} = c$ , proving Theorem 1.0.

Let us choose  $\hat{t}$ . We claim that  $||w_m||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} > \beta$  for large m: since  $I(w_m) \to c$  and  $I(0) \neq c$ ,  $||w_m||$  is bounded away from 0 for large m. If  $||w_m||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} \leq \beta$ , then by (2.4),

$$(3.6) I'(w_m)(w_m) = \|w_m\|^2 - \int_0^\infty hV'(w_m) \cdot w_m \ge \|w_m\|^2 - \int_0^\infty 2(\frac{1}{8})|w_m|^2 \ge \frac{3}{4}\|w_m\|^2.$$

This cannot happen for large m, since  $||w_m||$  is bounded away from 0 for large m and  $I'(w_m)w_m \to 0$ . Since  $||w_m||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} > \beta$  for large m, we may define

(3.7) 
$$t_0 = \min\{t \mid |w(t)| \ge \beta\} < t_1 = \max\{t \mid |w(t)| \ge \beta\}.$$

By (3.2),  $1 < t_0 < t_1$ . By (3.4),

(3.8) 
$$|I'(w)w| = |\int_0^\infty |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V'(w) \cdot w \, dt| < \epsilon.$$

We will choose the cutting point  $\hat{t}$  between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  so that the integral above, evaluated only from 0 to  $\hat{t}$ , is zero (and the integral evaluated from  $\hat{t}$  to  $\infty$  is also close to zero). For  $t < t_0$ ,  $|w(t)| < \beta$ , and since  $h(t) \le 2$  for all  $t \ge 0$  ((2.5)),

$$|h(t)V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t)| \le 2(\frac{1}{8}|w(t)|^2) = \frac{1}{4}|w(t)|^2$$

by the definition of  $\beta$  ((2.4)). Therefore

(3.10) 
$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} |w'|^{2} + |w|^{2} - h(t)V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) dt \ge \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} |w'|^{2} + |w|^{2} dt = \frac{3}{4} ||w||_{W^{1,2}([0,t_{0}])}^{2} \ge \frac{3}{4} ||w||_{W^{1,2}([0,t_{0}])}^{2} \ge \frac{3}{16} ||w||_{L^{\infty}([0,t_{0}])}^{2} = \frac{3}{16} \beta^{2},$$

using an embedding in the Appendix, and the fact that  $||w||_{L^{\infty}([0,t_0])} = \beta$ . By similar reasoning to (3.9)-(3.10), and using the other embedding in the Appendix,

$$(3.11) \qquad \qquad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) \, dt \geq \frac{3}{4} \|w\|_{W^{1,2}([t_1,\infty])}^2 \geq \frac{3}{4} \|w\|_{L^{\infty}([t_1,\infty])}^2 = \frac{3}{4} \beta^2.$$

By (3.8), (3.11), and (3.1),

(3.12) 
$$\int_0^{t_1} |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V(w(t)) \cdot w(t) dt =$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V'(w) \cdot w dt - \int_{t_1}^{\infty} |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V'(w) \cdot w dt <$$

$$< \epsilon - \frac{3}{4}\beta^2 < \beta^2/4 - \frac{3}{4}\beta^2 < 0.$$

The above integral is negative but the integral from 0 to  $t_0$  of the same integrand is positive ((3.10)). Therefore there exists  $\hat{t} \in (t_0, t_1)$  with

(3.13)(i) 
$$\int_0^t |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) dt = 0.$$

By the above and (3.8), we have, similarly,

$$(3.13)(ii) \qquad |\int_{\hat{t}}^{\infty} |w'|^2 + |w|^2 - h(t)f(w(t))w(t) dt| < \epsilon.$$

By (3.3),

(3.14)(i) 
$$\int_0^{\hat{t}} \frac{1}{2} |w'|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |w|^2 - h(t) F(w(t)) dt < \frac{7}{12} c$$
 or

(3.14)(ii) 
$$\int_{\hat{t}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{w}^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2 - h(t) F(w(t)) dt < \frac{7}{12} c.$$

If the former case, (3.14)(i), holds, define  $z \in E$  by reflecting w over  $t = \hat{t}/2$ , that is,

(3.15) 
$$z(t) = \begin{cases} w(\hat{t} - t); & 0 \le t \le \hat{t} \\ 0; & t \ge \hat{t}. \end{cases}$$

If the latter case, (3.14)(ii), holds, define  $z \in E$  by  $z(t) = w(t + \hat{t})$ . In future arguments, we assume for convenience that the latter case holds. Arguments for the former case are very similar.

By the discussion preceding Lemma 2.11, there exists a unique  $\bar{s} > 0$  with the property that  $\bar{s}z \in \mathcal{S}$ . We will prove that, if one assumes d to be small enough, then  $I(\bar{s}z) < c$ . This is impossible, and Theorem 1.0 follows. Recall  $\epsilon$  from (3.1), and define  $\epsilon$  more precisely by

(3.16) 
$$\epsilon = \frac{(p-1)\beta^2}{60}.$$

Set

(3.17) 
$$d = \frac{\epsilon}{B^2} = \frac{(p-1)\beta^2}{60} \cdot \frac{(p-1)}{14c^+} = \frac{(p-1)^2\beta^2}{840c^+}.$$

Assume from now on that

$$(3.18) p \le 2.$$

Then, as we have been assuming,  $d \le 1$ , using (2.8) and  $c^+ \ge c$ . The following estimate, which uses (2.8), will be useful later:

(3.19) 
$$\epsilon = \frac{(p-1)\beta^2}{60} \le \frac{(p-1)}{60} \cdot \frac{8}{3}c < \frac{(p-1)c}{22} \le \frac{c}{22} \le \frac{c^+}{22}.$$

We will show that  $|I'(z)z| < 3\epsilon$ , while  $I(z) < \frac{2}{3}c$ . This will imply that the function g(s) = I(sz) has a maximum for  $s \geq 0$  that is less than c, which is impossible. We estimate I'(z)z by comparing the integral for I'(z)z to that for I'(w)w in (3.13)(ii):

$$(3.20) \quad |I'(z)z| = |\int_0^\infty |z'(t)|^2 + |z(t)|^2 - h(t)V'(z(t)) \cdot z(t) \, dt| =$$

$$= |\int_0^\infty |w'(t+\hat{t})|^2 + |w(t+\hat{t})|^2 - h(t)V'(w(t+\hat{t})) \cdot w(t+\hat{t}) \, dt| =$$

$$= |\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty |w'(t)|^2 + |w(t)|^2 - h(t-\hat{t})V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) \, dt| \le$$

$$= |\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty |w'(t)|^2 + |w(t)|^2 - h(t)V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) \, dt| +$$

$$+ |\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty (h(t) - h(t-\hat{t}))V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) \, dt| \le$$

$$= \epsilon + d\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) \le \epsilon + d\int_0^\infty V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) = \epsilon + d(||w||^2 - I'(w)w) \le$$
(by (3.13)(ii) and (V<sub>3</sub>))
$$< \epsilon + d(B^2 + \epsilon) < 2\epsilon + dB^2 < 3\epsilon.$$

$$\leq \epsilon + d(B^2 + \epsilon) \leq 2\epsilon + dB^2 \leq 3\epsilon.$$

In the last line we use (2.5)  $(d \le 1)$ , and Lemma 2.22 with (3.3), (3.4) and (3.19).

Now we estimate I(z) by comparing the integral for I(z) to that for I(w); we assume case (3.14)(ii) holds, so z equals w translated  $\hat{t}$  units to the left. Recall that w satisfies (3.2)-(3.4).

$$(3.21) I(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} |z'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |z(t)|^2 - h(t)V(z(t)) dt =$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} |w'(t+\hat{t})|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |w(t+\hat{t})|^2 - h(t)V(w(t+\hat{t})) dt =$$

$$= \int_{\hat{t}}^\infty \frac{1}{2} |w'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |w(t)|^2 - h(t-\hat{t})V(w(t)) dt =$$

$$= \int_{\hat{t}}^\infty \frac{1}{2} |w'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |w(t)|^2 - h(t)V(w(t)) dt + \int_{\hat{t}}^\infty (h(t) - h(t-\hat{t}))V(w(t)) dt <$$

$$< \frac{7}{12}c + d\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty V(w(t)) dt \le \frac{7}{12}c + d\int_{\hat{t}}^\infty V'(w(t)) \cdot w(t) dt \le$$

$$(\text{by } (3.3) \text{ and } (2.1))$$

$$\leq \frac{7}{12}c + d(B^2 + \epsilon) < \frac{7}{12}c + 2\epsilon < \frac{2}{3}c.$$

In the last line, we estimate the last integral using the calculation at the end of (3.20), and also use (3.16),  $d \le 1$ , and (3.19).

We have  $z \in E$  with  $I(z) < \frac{2}{3}c$  and  $|I'(z)z| < 3\epsilon$ . By choice of the cutting point  $\hat{t}$  between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  ((3.7)), and the definition of z as a reflection or translation of w (see (3.15) and the remark following it),  $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} \ge |z(0)| = \beta$ , so  $||z|| \ge \beta$ . Defining g(s) = I(sz) as in Lemma 2.11,  $g(1) = I(z) < \frac{2}{3}c$  and  $|g'(1)| = |I'(z)z| < 3\epsilon$ . We will show that g'(5/4) < 0 and g'(3/4) > 0. Therefore there exists  $\bar{s} \in (3/4, 5/4)$  with  $g'(\bar{s}) = I'(\bar{s}z)z = 0$ , so  $\bar{s}z \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then we prove that for all  $s \in [3/4, 5/4]$ , g(s) < c. This contradicts the fact that  $I(\bar{s}z) \ge c$ , proving Theorem 1.0. By Lemma 2.11(i), since  $p \in (1, 2]$  and  $||z|| > \beta$ ,

$$(3.22) g'(5/4) \le g'(1) - \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(\frac{1}{4})||z||^2 \le 3\epsilon - \frac{1}{16}(p-1)\beta^2 < 0$$

using the definition of  $\epsilon$  ((3.16)). Similarly,

$$(3.23) g'(3/4) \ge g'(1) + \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(\frac{1}{4})\|z\|^2 \ge -3\epsilon + \frac{1}{16}(p-1)\beta^2 > 0.$$

 $|g'(1)| < 3\epsilon$ , so for  $s \in [1, 5/4]$ , Lemma 2.11(i) gives

(3.24) 
$$g'(s) \le g'(1)s^p - \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(s-1)\|z\|^2 \le g'(1)s^p < 3\epsilon s^p < 3\epsilon(5/4)^2 < 5\epsilon,$$

and

$$(3.25) g(s) = g(1) + \int_{1}^{s} g'(r) dr < \frac{2}{3}c + 5\epsilon(s-1) < \frac{2}{3}c + 2\epsilon < \frac{2}{3}c + \frac{c}{11} < c$$

(see (3.19)). For  $s \in [3/4, 1]$ , Lemma 2.11(ii) gives,

$$(3.26) g'(s) \ge g'(1)s^p + \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(s-1)\|z\|^2 \ge g'(1)s^p > -3\epsilon s^p < -3\epsilon(1)^2 = -3\epsilon,$$

SO

(3.27) 
$$g(s) = g(1) - \int_{s}^{1} g'(r) dr < \frac{2}{3}c + 3\epsilon(1-s) < \frac{2}{3}c + \epsilon < \frac{2}{3}c + c/22 < c$$

by (3.19). Therefore g(s) = I(sz) < c for all  $s \in [3/4, 5/4]$ . This is impossible because  $\bar{s}z \in \mathcal{S}$  for some  $\bar{s} \in [3/4, 5/4]$ . The assumption made at the beginning of this section is false. Theorem 1.0 is proven.

### 4. Determining d: an example.

Here we find how to write d, satisfying Theorem 1.0, compactly in terms of  $\beta$ , p, and V. Then we find d for a specific function V.

To compute d using (3.17) we must estimate  $c^+$  as defined in (2.21). Let us find a way to estimate  $c^+$  for any V satisfying  $(V_1) - (V_3)$  and write it compactly. Recall  $I^+$ ,  $\Gamma^+$ , and  $c^+$  from (2.19)-(2.21). To define  $c^+$ , it suffices to find one element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma^+$  and choose  $c^+$  large enough to guarantee that  $c^+ \geq \max_{\theta>0} I^+(g(\theta))$ . Define  $\beta$  as in (2.4). Let  $\vec{e}_1$  denote the unit vector  $[1\ 0\ 0\cdots 0]^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and define  $w: \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$  by

$$(4.0) w(t) = \beta e^{-t} \vec{e}_1.$$

A direct calculation yields  $||w|| = \beta$ . Since  $||w||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^+)} = \beta$ ,  $I^{+'}(sw)(w) > 0$  for all  $s \in (0,1]$ , by (3.6). Thus I(sw) < I(w) for all  $s \in (0,1)$ . By (2.2),

(4.1) 
$$I^{+}(sw) = \frac{1}{2}s^{2}||w||^{2} - \int_{0}^{\infty} V(sw) dt \leq \frac{1}{2}s^{2}\beta^{2} - s^{p+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} V(w) dt$$

for all s > 1.  $V(r\vec{e}_1)$  is increasing for positive r, so

(4.2) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} V(w) dt \ge \int_{0}^{\ln 2} V(w) dt = \int_{0}^{\ln 2} V(\beta e^{-s} \vec{e}_{1}) ds >$$
$$> \int_{0}^{\ln 2} V(\beta \vec{e}_{1}/2) dt = (\ln 2) V(\beta \vec{e}_{1}/2) > V(\beta \vec{e}_{1}/2)/2.$$

Therefore

(4.3) 
$$I^{+}(sw) \le \alpha(s) \equiv \frac{1}{2}s^{2} \left[\beta^{2} - (F(\beta/2)/2)s^{p-1}\right]$$

for s > 1. By elementary calculus,  $\alpha(s)$  achieves a maximum over  $\{s > 0\}$  of

(4.4) 
$$\frac{\beta^2}{2} \left( \frac{p-1}{p+1} \right) \left( \frac{4\beta^2}{(p+1)V(\beta/2)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \le \frac{\beta^2}{6} \left( \frac{2\beta^2}{V(\beta/2)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}.$$

The last expression is an upper bound for  $c^+$ . Using (3.17), d can be estimated by

$$(4.5) \qquad \frac{(p-1)^2\beta^2}{840c^+} \ge \frac{(p-1)^2\beta^2}{840} \cdot \frac{6}{\beta^2} \cdot \left(\frac{V(\beta\vec{e_1}/2)}{2\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{140} \left(\frac{V(\beta\vec{e_1}/2)}{2\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \ge d.$$

Let us compute d for the specific case  $n=1, 1 . We can pick <math>\beta = \frac{1}{8}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , because

$$(4.6) V'(q)q = |q|^{p+1} = |q|^{p-1}|q|^2 \le \beta |q|^2$$

for  $|q| \leq \beta$ . Now,

$$V(\beta/2) = \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \ge \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{3}{p-1}},$$

so, using (4.5), d can be estimated by

$$\frac{(p-1)^2}{140} \left(\frac{V(\beta/2)}{2\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \ge \frac{(p-1)^2}{140} \left(\frac{1}{6 \cdot 8^{\frac{3}{p-1}} \cdot 8^{\frac{2}{p-1}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} > 
> \frac{(p-1)^2}{140} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\left(\frac{p+4}{p-1}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p-1}\right)} \ge \frac{(p-1)^2}{140} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{12}{(p-1)^2}} \ge d.$$

# Appendix

This brief appendix contains two well-known Sobolev inequalities, along with embedding constants.

Lemma 1 If  $u \in W^{1,2}([0,\infty))$  then

(i) 
$$||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,\infty))} \le ||u||_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))}.$$

If  $a \ge 1$  and  $u \in W^{1,2}([0,a])$ , then

(ii) 
$$||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,a])} \le 2||u||_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))}.$$

Proof of (i): let  $u \in W^{1,2}([0,\infty))$  and  $x_1 \in [0,\infty)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $x_0 \in [0,\infty)$  with  $|u(x_0)| < \epsilon$ . Then

$$u(x_1)^2 = u(x_0)^2 + (u(x_1)^2 - u(x_0)^2) < \epsilon^2 + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{d}{dx} u^2 dx \right| =$$

$$= \epsilon^2 + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} 2uu' dx \right| \le \epsilon^2 + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (u')^2 + u^2 dx \right| \le \epsilon^2 + \left| \left| u \right| \right|_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))}^2$$

via the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Letting  $\epsilon$  go to zero,  $|u(x_1)| \leq ||u||_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))}$ . Since  $x_1$  is arbitrary, (i) is proven.

*Proof of (ii)*: Let  $a \ge 1$  and  $u \in W^{1,2}([0,a])$ . Assume  $||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,a])} \ge 1$ . We will show that  $||u||_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))} \ge 1/2$ .

If |u(x)| > 1/2 for all  $x \in [0, a]$ , then  $||u||_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty))}^2 \ge \int_0^a u^2 > a/4 \ge 1/4$ . So suppose  $|u(x_0)| \le 1/2$  for some  $x_0 \in [0, a]$ . Let  $x_1 \in [0, a]$  with  $|u(x_1)| \ge 1$ . Arguing as in part (i) above,

$$1 \le u(x_1)^2 = u(x_0)^2 + (u(x_1)^2 - u(x_0)^2) < \frac{1}{4} + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{d}{dx} u^2 dx \right| =$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} 2uu' dx \right| \le \frac{1}{4} + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (u')^2 + u^2 dx \right| \le \frac{1}{4} + \|u\|_{W^{1,2}([0,1])}^2.$$

Therefore  $\left\|u\right\|_{W^{1,2}([0,1])}^2 \geq 3/4 > 1/4.$  Part (ii) is proven.

#### References

- [A] F. Alessio, "Homoclinic solutions for second order systems with expansive time dependence," preprint.
- [AR] A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz, "Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications," Journal of Functional Analysis 14 (1973), 349-381.
- [BL] A. Bahri and Y-Y. Li., "On a Min-Max Procedure for the Existence of a Positive Solution for a Certain Scalar Field Equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ," Revista Matematica Iberoamericana 6, no. 1-2.
- [CMN] V. Coti Zelati, P. Montecchiari, and M. Nolasco, "Multibump solutions for a class of second order, almost periodic Hamiltonian systems." to appear in Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations and Applications.
- [CR] V. Coti Zelati and P. H. Rabinowitz, "Homoclinic Orbits for Second Order Hamiltonian Systems Possessing Superquadratic Potentials," Journal of the American Mathematical Society 4 (1991), no. 4, 693-627.
- [EL] M.J. Esteban and P.L. Lions, "Existence and non existence results for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains" Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, no. 93 (1982), 1-14.
  - [FdP] P. Felmer and M. del Piño, "Semi-classical States for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations," preprint.
- [FW] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, "Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential," J. Funct. Anal., 69 (1986), pp. 397-408.
- [L] P.L. Lions, "The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case," Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, no. 1 (1984), 102-145 and 223-283.
- [MNT] P. Montecchiari, Margherita Nolasco, and S. Terracini, "Multiplicity of Homoclinics for a Class of Time Recurrent Second Order Hamiltonian Systems." to appear in Calculus of Variations.
- [MW] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [R1] P. H. Rabinowitz, "Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems" Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 114A (1990), 33-38.
- [R2] P. H. Rabinowitz, "On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations," Z. angew Math. Phys. 43 (1992), 270-291.
- [Sé] E. Séré, "Existence of Infinitely many Homoclinic Orbits in Hamiltonian Systems," Mathematische Zeitschrift 209 (1992), no.1, 27-42.
- [STT] E. Serra, M. Tarallo and S. Terracini, "On the existence of homoclinic solutions to almost periodic second order systems," to appear in Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré: Analyse Non Linéaire.
- [WZ] X. Wang and B. Zeng, "On Concentration of Positive Bound States of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Competing Potential Functions," Comm. Math. Phys. 153, no. 2, 229–244 (1993).